Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s) KAWAMURA ET AL.	
	09/927,328		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski	(3)		
(2) Michael Davis. M	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 May 2004</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed was the process where the components of the present invention were reacted concurrently whereas process of the prior art art disclosed sequential reaction. Discussed was also the language claim, which was incorrectly interpreted by the examiner during prosecution. The applicant's representative agreed to clarify the language of the claim.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that w	reed would rende vould render the c	r the claims laims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse section.	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPL S INTERVIEW SU	ICANT IS JMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required